

# Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

Directorate: Environment and Housing	Service area: Property and Contracts				
Lead person: Mandy Dove	Contact number: 2476255				
1. Title: Council Housing Capital Progra	mme 2014/15				
Is this a:					
Strategy / Policy Service / Function x Other					
If other, please specify: budget allocation decision					
2. Please provide a brief description of	what you are screening				
This ecreaning covers the Council Housing	Capital Programme for 2014/15 and is				

This screening covers the Council Housing Capital Programme for 2014/15 and is being undertaken to ensure that the Council takes account of equality considerations as part of the capital programme setting process.

Anticipated resources of £55,583k have been identified to support the 2014/15 Capital Programme to deliver investment in the following key areas:

## **Priority 1 – Existing Commitments**

This element of the capital programme will deliver £6,100k investment in schemes where approval has already been given to proceed, as follows:

• £1,642k to refurbish 87 properties on the Malverns and Waverleys which were

removed from the Beeston Hill and Holbeck PFI.

- £467k to install district heating system to Clydes MSFs.
- £2,000k to remodel the Farrar Lane sheltered bedsit scheme.
- £869k to contribute toward the Sustainable Communities Investment Fund.
- £200k to support the Sanctuary Scheme which delivers additional security measures to properties.
- £150k on the replacement of Eurolocks.
- Former ALMO schemes which are programmed for completion in 2014/15.

## Priority 2 - Legal / Regulatory Requirements

This element of the capital programme will deliver investment in order for the Council to meet its legal and regulatory requirements including adaptations, fire safety works and capitalised responsive repairs. This area of the programme is estimated to make up 20% of the capital programme. Resources will be allocated in order for the Council to complete works delivered to meet the Fire Service Concordat by 2015.

## **Priority 3 – Strategic Priorities (Essential Investment)**

This element of the capital programme will deliver investment to deliver essential investment to stock, including decency works, capitalised voids, communal building components and staffing / overhead costs. This area of the programme is estimated to make up 60% of the capital programme.

#### **Priority 4 – Strategic Priorities (Sustainability Investment)**

While none of the schemes identified in priority 4 are essential from a contractual or legislative perspective, many of the schemes are of the highest priority in achieving the City Priorities and Asset Management Priorities for Council Housing. It has therefore been important in developing the 2014/15 Capital Programme to as far as possible include schemes from the priority 4 group.

#### 3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different		Х
equality characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the		Х
policy or proposal?		

Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?			
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		х	
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on     Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment     Advancing equality of opportunity     Fostering good relations		х	

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7** 

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5**.

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration				
If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.				
Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).				
A) How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (Think about: the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned – see Ai below) with those likely to be affected)				
Ai) Is the consultation /engagement listed on Talking Point?	Yes No			
B) Key findings				
(Think about: any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics,				
potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring				

groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)				
C) Actions (Think about: how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)				
5. If you are <b>not</b> already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment.				
Date to scope and plan you	r impact assessment:			
Date to complete your impa	ct assessment			
Lead person for your impac (Include name and job title)	t assessment			
6. Governance, ownership	and approval			
Please state here who has app	proved the actions and outc	ome	s of the screening	
Type of Decision being as	sessed			
Please tick as appropriate	ing agyings over C2E0 000 each v	oor	v	
<b>Key</b> (Incurring expenditure or mak and or outcome will have significant e			x X	
<b>Major</b> (incurring expenditure or ma			Initiality (we difficile waits)	
Significant Other (as Delegat			Pt 3 of Constitution)	
Administrative (not in conflict v	with approved policies and do not	raise	new issues of policy	
Name	Job title		Date	
Neil Evans	Director of Environment and Neighbourhoods		XXXXXX	
	and reignbournoods		<u> </u>	
7. Publishing				
	ill act as evidence that du	e re	gard to equality and diversity	
has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the				
screening document will nee			P	
Date screening completed	I	XXX	XXXXXX	
Date sent to Equality Tear	n			
Data published				
Date published (To be completed by the Equa	lity Team)			

